

REMARKS

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 1, 3-23 are allowed.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 101

Claim 24 is allegedly rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the Office Action states that the claim lacks the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. Amended claim 24 now explicitly recites a processor. Thus, claim 24 as amended is not directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112

Claim 25 is allegedly rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Specifically, the Office Action states that it is unclear how a process can comprise instruction as claimed. Applicant has removed all occurrences of the phrase “instructions for” from the claim. Thus, this rejection is now moot.

For the reasons described above, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, all claims are believed allowable, and an allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions or comments, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

To the extent that any arguments and disclaimers were presented to distinguish prior art, or for other reasons substantially related to patentability, during the prosecution of any and all parent and related application(s)/patent(s), Applicant(s) hereby explicitly retracts and rescinds any and all such arguments and disclaimers, and respectfully requests that the Examiner re-visit the prior art that such arguments and disclaimers were made to avoid.

Credit card payment by USPTO - EFS in the amount of \$460.00 is charged herein.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge Vista IP Law Group LLP Account No. 50-1105 for any fees required that are not covered, in whole or in part, and to credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account No. 50-1105.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 16, 2008

By: 

Jasper Kwoh

Registration No. 54,921

Vista IP Law Group LLP

1885 Lundy Avenue,

Suite 108

San Jose, CA 95131

Telephone: (408) 321-8663